#### ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10010613-1

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 7599 Coveland, Colorado 80537-0599

OIPE 40

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ventor(s): Thomas C. Harrop

Serial No.: 09/734,273

**Examiner: Dustin Nguyen** 

Filing Date: December 11, 2000

Group Art Unit: 2154

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ADAPTIVE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

#### TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Siı	r:										
Tra	ansmitted he	erewith is/are the follo	wing in the	above-identified applic	ation:						
X	Response	e/Amendment		Petition to extend time to respond							
	New fee a	as calculated below	☐ Supp	Supplemental Declaration							
	No additional fee (Address envelope to "Mail Stop Amendments")										
×	Other: 2	return postcards		(Fee \$)							
ſ	CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY										
ſ	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)				
- 1	FOR	CLAIMS REMAINING	NUMBER	HIGHEST NUMBER	PRESENT	RATE	ADDITIONAL				
- 1		AFTER AMENDMENT	I FXTRA	PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	I FXTRA		l FEES				

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY													
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA		(4) SHEST NUMBER TOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA		(6) RATE		(7) DITIONAL FEES				
TOTAL CLAIMS		MINUS			=	0	X 50	\$	0				
INDEP. CLAIMS		MINUS			=	0	X 200	\$	0				
☐ FIRST PRE	+ 360	\$	0										
EXTENSION FEE	1 <sup>ST</sup> MONTH 120.00 <b>I</b>	2 <sup>ND</sup> MON1 450.00	TH 3 <sup>RD</sup> MONTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> MONTH 1020.00 ☐ 1590.00 ☐			\$	120						
OTHER FEES													
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT													

Charge \$\_120\_\_ to Deposit Account **50-1078**. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account **50-1078** pursuant to 37 CFR 1.2 5. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account **50-1078** under 37 CFR 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is enclosed.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being Deposited with the United States Postal Service as First class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Deposit: February 21, 2006

Typed Name: Jacob N. Erlich

Signature

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas C. Harrop

Jacob N. Erlich

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 24,338

Date: February 21, 2006

Telephone No. 617-854-4000

Rev 06/05 (TransAmd)

Appl. No. 09/734,273

Docket No.: 10010613-1 (28579-206) Statement of Substance of the Interview



# **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on February 21, 2006.

Jacob N. Erlich Reg. No. 24,338

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

09/734,273

Confirmation No. 5508

Applicant

Thomas C. Harrop December 11, 2000

Filed TC/A.U.

2154

Examiner

Nguyen, Dustin

Title

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ADAPTIVE RESOURCE

**MANAGEMENT** 

Docket No.

10010613-1 (28579-206)

Customer No.

022878

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Legal Department, DL429

**Intellectual Property Administration** 

P.O. Box 7599

Loveland, CO 80537-0599

To:

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.133, Applicant's agent submits the following written statement of the substance of the telephonic interview conducted on January 26, 2006 between Kathleen Chapman and Jacob N. Erlich, Applicants' representatives, and Examiner Dustin Nguyen.

Appl. No. 09/734,273

Docket No.: 10010613-1 (28579-206) Statement of Substance of the Interview

# Claims discussed

1

### Prior Art discussed

Liang (U.S. Patent No. 6,738,811)

## Outcome

The prior art and the claimed invention were discussed. Applicant would consider amending the claim language to include more clarity about the analysis technique. Examiner will reconsider whether the proposed amendment may overcome the prior art rejection set forth in the Office Action.

In accordance with Section 714.01 of the M.P.E.P., the following information is presented in the event that a call may be deemed desirable by the Examiner:

Jacob N. Erlich (617) 854-4000.

Date: February 21, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas C. Harrop, Applicant

Bv:

Jacob N. Erlich Reg. No. 24,338

Attorney for Applicant